


These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

The following tables and figures depict mask wearing beliefs for the state of Indiana and the surrounding states. The quick look provides the most important information for each table or figure.



Created with mapchart.net®

Figure 1. Indiana and the surrounding states.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories: COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 1. Demographics for Indiana and surrounding states and non-Indiana and surrounding states (percentage of respondents).

| Demographic Variable | Indiana + surrounding states Respondents n=158 | Non-Indiana + surrounding states Respondents n=1040 |
|--|---|--|
| <i>Gender</i> | | |
| Male | 41 | 48 |
| Female | 59 | 51 |
| <i>Age</i> | | |
| 18-24 | 9 | 10 |
| 25-34 | 20 | 17 |
| 35-44 | 17 | 16 |
| 45-54 | 16 | 18 |
| 55-65 | 18 | 17 |
| 65 + | 18 | 20 |
| <i>Income</i> | | |
| \$0-\$24,999 | 31 | 23 |
| \$25,000-\$49,999 | 28 | 24 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 16 | 19 |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 8 ^Ψ | 14 ^Ψ |
| \$100,000 and higher | 16 | 20 |
| <i>Education</i> | | |
| Did not graduate from high school | 2 | 3 |
| Graduated from high school, Did not attend college | 34 | 28 |
| Attended College, No Degree earned | 24 | 24 |
| Attended College, Associates or Bachelor's Degree earned | 30 | 31 |
| Attended College, Graduate or Professional Degree earned | 9 | 14 |

^ΨIndicates the percentage of respondents from that category from that state and surrounding states is statistically different than non that state and surrounding states at the <0.05 level.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories: COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 1 quick look: Indiana and the surrounding states have statistically less people in the income range \$75,000-\$99,999 than non-Indiana and surrounding states.

Consumer Corner (Widmar & Bir)

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories: COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 2. Impact level of COVID-19 on daily life for Indiana and surrounding states (IN states) and non-Indiana and surrounding states (NON-IN) and mean response for both groups for all respondents who did not select does not apply to me.

| Activity | 1 (Not impacted) | | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 (Impacted) | | Does not apply to me | | Mean | |
|--|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON -IN | IN states | NON-IN |
| Respondent's daily activities outside of work/school | 14 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 18 | 22 | 22 | 32 | 32 | 9 | 8 | 3.57a ¹ N=144 | 3.56a N=962 |
| Ability to buy paper products (e.g., toilet paper, paper towels) | 13 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 27 | 25 | 33 | 29 | 3 | 2 | 3.62a N=154 | 3.45a N=1018 |
| Ability to find meat, milk and perishable grocery items | 18 | 20 | 13 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 23 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 3.23b ^ψ N=152 | 2.98b ^ψ N=1015 |
| Ability to execute travel plans | 15 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 34 | 41 | 25 | 21 | 3.66a N=118 | 3.92c N=817 |
| Activities related to respondent's work/school | 16 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 13 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 26 | 3.60a N=113 | 3.53a N=767 |

¹Matching letters indicate the mean is statistically different down the column. For example, the mean for Indiana and the surrounding states for the activity respondent's daily activities outside of work/school is statistically different than the mean response for activity ability to find meat, milk and perishable grocery items but not statistically different for the activity ability to buy paper products at the <0.05 level.

^ψIndicates the mean is statistically different between Indiana and surrounding states and non-Indiana and the surrounding states for that activity at the <0.05 level.

Table 2 quick look: Respondents from Indiana and surrounding states indicated a lower level of impact for the ability to find meat, milk and perishable grocery items when compared to the other activities studied. Respondents from Indiana and the surrounding states indicated a lower level of impact for the ability to find meat, milk and perishable grocery items when compared to non-Indiana and the surrounding states.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories:

COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 3. Percentage of respondents who agree with mask-related statements.

| | Indiana and surrounding states N=158 | Not Indiana and surrounding states N=1040 |
|--|---|--|
| YES - masks have some potential role in U.S. society related to the spread of viral disease, especially COVID-19 | 81 [†] | 83 [†] |
| Wearing a mask helps prevent the spread of COVID-19 | 66 [†] | 71 [†] |
| Wearing a mask helps prevent me from getting COVID-19 | 52 | 53 [†] |
| Wearing a mask helps prevent me from spreading COVID-19 | 65 [†] | 64 [†] |
| Wearing a mask will help prevent future lock-downs in my community related to COVID-19 | 44 [†] | 48 |
| There is social pressure in my community to wear a mask | 28 [†] | 31 [†] |
| Wearing a mask does not prevent the spread of COVID-19 | 18 [†] | 13 [†] |
| Wearing a mask has negative health consequences for the mask wearer | 18 ^{†ψ} | 12 ^{†ψ} |

[†]Indicates the percentage of respondents is statistically different between those who selected they agreed with the statement and those who did not at the <0.05 level. Those who did not select that they agreed with the statement and those who did sum to 100% within a category (i.e. Indiana and surrounding states) and were not included for brevity with the exception of the role of masks in society.

^ψIndicates the percentage of respondents between the two levels within a category, for example men vs women, or high total vs not high total are statistically different at the <0.05 level.

Table 3 quick look: For both Indiana and the surrounding states and non-Indiana and the surrounding states, a higher percentage of respondents believed that masks have some potential role in U.S. society related to the spread of viral disease, especially COVID-19. A higher percentage of respondents from Indiana and the surrounding states believed that wearing a mask has negative health consequences for the mask wearer.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories: COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 4. Locations that respondents who indicated masks have at least some role in society wear a mask. Multiple selections permitted, percentage of respondents.

| | Percentage of respondents | | | | Those who can and do attend this location (location-specific n provided) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| | IN states n=128 | | NON-IN n=868 | | n | Percentage of respondents | | | | | | |
| | I do not go to this place | | This type of business is not open in my community | | | I wear a mask voluntarily | | I am required to wear a mask | | I do not wear a mask | | |
| | IN states | NON-IN | IN states | NON-IN | IN states | NON-IN | IN states | NON-IN | IN states | NON-IN | IN states | NON-IN |
| In-person religious service | 51 | 48 | 17 | 21 | 43 | 282 | 65 | 50 | 23 ^ψ | 40 ^ψ | 19 | 16 |
| Big box grocery store/supermarket | 13 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 109 | 775 | 76 ^ψ | 61 ^ψ | 20 ^ψ | 37 ^ψ | 15 | 12 |
| Specialty grocery store | 34 | 29 | 2 | 5 | 81 | 574 | 74 ^ψ | 57 ^ψ | 30 ^ψ | 41 ^ψ | 11 | 11 |
| Gym | 64 ^ψ | 54 ^ψ | 15 ^ψ | 24 ^ψ | 29 | 207 | 65 | 47 | 31 | 37 | 14 | 23 |
| Home improvement store | 20 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 96 | 633 | 73 ^ψ | 58 ^ψ | 26 ^ψ | 37 ^ψ | 13 | 13 |
| Restaurant | 34 | 31 | 9 ^ψ | 17 ^ψ | 72 | 453 | 57 | 50 | 29 | 34 | 24 | 24 |
| Workplace | 44 | 42 | 11 | 11 | 58 | 405 | 52 | 41 | 53 | 51 | 12 | 20 |
| School | 61 | 53 | 20 ^ψ | 29 ^ψ | 27 | 172 | 70 | 53 | 37 | 40 | 4 | 14 |
| Clothing store | 30 | 29 | 8 | 14 | 79 | 499 | 73 ^ψ | 57 ^ψ | 21 ^ψ | 35 ^ψ | 15 | 16 |
| Retail store other than grocery, clothing or home improvement | 17 | 17 | 4 | 7 | 101 | 653 | 71 ^ψ | 61 ^ψ | 22 ^ψ | 36 ^ψ | 18 | 13 |

^ψIndicates the mean is statistically different between Indiana and surrounding states and non-Indiana and the surrounding states for that location and column at the <0.05 level. For example, the percentage of respondents from Indiana and the surrounding states who voluntarily wear a mask in big box grocery store/supermarket is statistically different than non-Indiana and the surrounding states.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories:

COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Table 4 quick look: For respondents who indicated masks had at least some role in society and did attend the location, a higher percentage of respondents from Indiana and the surrounding states voluntarily wore a mask in a big box grocery store, specialty grocery store, home improvement store, clothing store and a retail store other than grocery clothing or home improvement when compared to non-Indiana and the surrounding states.

Consumer Corner (Widmar & Bir)


This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories:

COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Figure 2. From a scale of 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) respondent's level of agreement that someone in their household, or that they frequently spend time with is at higher risk of complications of COVID-19.



Note: The mean between Indiana and surrounding states and non-Indiana and surrounding states is not statistically different.

Figure 2 quick look: On a scale from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) the mean response for the level of agreement that someone in their household or that they frequently spend time with is at a higher risk of complications of COVID-19 is not statistically different between those in Indiana and the surrounding states and those in non-Indiana and surrounding states.


This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories:

COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/

These findings specific to Indiana and surrounding states are made available in conjunction with ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 of Consumer Corner. All material is © Nicole Olynk Widmar (nwidmar@purdue.edu) and Courtney Bir (courtney.bir@okstate.edu).

Figure 3. From a scale of 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) respondent's level of agreement that they are at higher risk of complications of COVID-19.



Note: The mean between Indiana and surrounding states and non-Indiana and surrounding states is not statistically different.

Figure 3 quick look: On a scale from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) the mean response for the level of agreement that the respondent is at a higher risk of complications of COVID-19 is not statistically different between those in Indiana and the surrounding states and those in non-Indiana and surrounding states.

This material should be cited as:

Widmar, N.J.O. and C. Bir. 2020. "A Tale of Two Pete's...Purdue Pete and Pistol Pete (OSU) Territories:

COVID-19 Impacts and Mask Usage Beliefs." ConsumerCorner.2020.Article.02 Available at https://agribusiness.purdue.edu/consumer_corner/